



## MARPA DOCUMENT

MARPA 1100

DRAFT

**STREAMLINE PROGRAM FOR PMA APPLICATIONS OF  
NON-SAFETY-SIGNIFICANT ARTICLES SUBMITTED BY  
EXPERIENCED APPLICANTS WITH A QUALIFYING  
PERFORMANCE RECORD**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Contents

I. REVISION HISTORY .....	3
II. INTRODUCTION.....	4
III. DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	5
IV. BEGINNING THE PROCESS WITH A MOU .....	6
V. DEMONSTRATING YOUR BUSINESS' QUALIFICATIONS .....	8
VI. PROPER PLANNING .....	10
VII. FOLLOWING THE MARPA 1100 PROGRAM .....	12
Appendix: PART SPECIFIC CERTIFICATION PLAN OUTLINE .....	14

## I. REVISION HISTORY

<b>REVISION LETTER</b>	<b>DATE</b>
Initial Release	TBA

## II. INTRODUCTION

The Streamline Program “MARPA 1100” was developed by the Modification And Replacement Parts Association (MARPA). It is a recommended format for demonstrating that a PMA application is appropriate for streamlined treatment by the FAA.

This program applies to a PMA application for a Non-Safety Significant article, when that application is submitted by an experienced production approval holder with a documented record of safety accomplishment. “Non-Safety Significant” is defined in Section III of this standard.

This program DOES NOT eliminate or reduce the requirements of any FAA regulation and it does not provide any exemptions. The FAA's regulations and other directives continue to apply to each PMA application.

This program DOES NOT have any effect on PMA applicants who choose not rely on this program. Such PMA applications should be reviewed by the FAA according to the FAA's normal processes.

This program DOES NOT impose any obligation on the FAA or any other governmental agency. Although MARPA has asked the FAA to use this program as a means to better organize certain PMA applications, and to facilitate streamlined review of such applications, the FAA has no obligation to the industry to do so, except such obligation as the FAA may impose on itself.

The program has several key elements. MARPA recognizes that compliance with these elements may impose burdens on the applicant beyond the minimum requirements of the FAA regulatory requirements, and that some of these elements may not be required by the regulations for a particular PMA application:

- 1) The application utilizes a Part-Specific Certification Plan. This provides the applicant with a written plan that identifies the applicable FAA safety regulations and explains how the applicant will show compliance with each of those identified regulations;
- 2) The applicant has past experience with the PMA process. This helps assure that the application knows how to develop a PMA application package that will be acceptable to the FAA and that will facilitate the FAA's review (for purposes of a finding of compliance);
- 3) The application includes a Statement of Compliance that certifies that the applicant has complied with the airworthiness requirements of the FAA regulations;
- 4) The applicant has an existing production quality system that meets the requirements of the FAA regulations;
- 5) Part conformity is confirmed through First Article Inspection. This helps assure that the quality system will successfully yield an article that conforms to the design.

In theory, if the requirements of this standard are all met, then the FAA should be able to easily approve a PMA application for a NSS part. Here is a summary of the application's elements and the way that they interface with this standard.

- The FAA agrees with the applicant's assessment of which rules apply to the part (list shown in the PartSCP);
- The FAA agrees with the applicant's process for demonstrating compliance with each rule that applies to the part (shown in the PartSCP);
- The applicant properly performed each of the tests and inspections necessary to show compliance (certified in the Statement of Compliance and based on past experience with the applicant);
- The applicant's data for each of the tests and inspections showed that the part subject to the application was in full compliance (certified in the Statement of Compliance - the applicant will also submit this data for FAA review to the extent required by the MOU); and
- The applicant has an appropriate infrastructure (approved quality system) for producing the parts (based on an existing PMA quality system as well as based on the quality history of the system).

One aim of the MARPA 1100 Standard is to encourage companies to perform robust initial planning for approval projects.

It is intended that applications submitted under the MARPA 1100 guidelines would mitigate the workload of FAA ACO personnel who are processing PMA applications. MARPA believes the standardization and completeness of an application that conforms to the MARPA 1100 Standard should permit FAA employees to perform a more rapid FAA data review, leading to a quicker response to the PMA application. MARPA believes that asking for the FAA to provide an approval or a reason for denial within 30-days is not unreasonable.

It is MARPA's intent that PMA applicants who perform the additional steps recommended in the program would receive recognition by the FAA, and that the FAA would expedite such processing of such applications. Although we have coordinated this intent with FAA Headquarters, this standard does not impose on the FAA any obligation to expedite review of any PMA application.

Under no circumstances should this program be interpreted as a mandate, nor as an industry standard practice. This program represents practices that exceed the requirements of the regulations and that exceed industry standard practices. MARPA DOES NOT represent nor guarantee that the FAA will provide expedited processing of an application that meets the elements of this program.

### **III. DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

Definitions Used in this Document:

**Article** means a material, part, component, process, or appliance. In the context of this standard, interpretation of this term should be limited only to items that are eligible for Parts Manufacturer Approval.

**Non-Safety Significant Article (“NSS Article”)** means an article whose failure would have no appreciable effect on the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft. This definition is meant to be analogous to the class of parts that are considered to NOT need FAA-approved data when fabricated in a maintenance environment (known as Category III parts in the FAA's AC 43-18 guidance).

**Requirement** means an element that is required for compliance to this standard. Compliance with this standard is not legally required, so Requirements are only required in the context of this standard. A company may not claim that a PMA application is in full compliance with this standard unless it is in full compliance with each of the applicable Requirements of this Standard. The fact that an element is deemed a Requirement does not mean that it is or is not a regulatory requirement.

**Practice Guide** means advice concerning methods for implementing a Requirement. Practice Guides are meant to provide useful advice and guidance, but compliance with them is not required under the Standard.

**Part-Specific Certification Plan (“PartSCP”)** means a written plan for how the applicant intends to prepare and present the necessary data to support a PMA application(s) to assist the applicant in completing the certification process.

Abbreviations Used in this Document:

ACO	Aircraft Certification Office
ACSEP	Aircraft Certification Systems Evaluation Program
ASB	Alert Service Bulletin
DAH	Design Approval Holder
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
MARPA	Modification and Replacement Parts Association
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NSS	Non-Safety Significant
PAH	Production Approval Holder
PartSCP	Part-Specific Certification Plan
PMA	Parts Manufacturer Approval

#### **IV. BEGINNING THE PROCESS WITH A MOU**

**REQUIREMENT IV(1):** The PMA applicant shall establish a MOU between the applicant and the FAA.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(1)(a):** MARPA recommends that a PMA applicant who intends to make use of this program establish a MOU between the applicant and its overseeing ACO, if no prior MOU between them exists.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(1)(b):** Unless the MOU prevents termination, a MOU with the FAA may generally be terminated at any time by either the applicant or the FAA. Termination of the MOU may affect the manner in which the FAA processes a PMA application, to the extent that allowances or considerations accorded under the MOU may be withdrawn; however, absent other circumstances, termination of a MOU (alone) should not prevent the FAA from processing a PMA application under normal processing standards; and it should not require the FAA to repeat analysis of the PMA application that has already been completed. Termination of a MOU (alone) should not imply that the PMA applications from the applicant are withdrawn or denied. A company may continue to rely on the MARPA 1100 program as a baseline for its own operations and as a method for doing business despite the termination of a MOU. The FAA may, in its own discretion, provide credit to an applicant for meeting the elements of MARPA 1100 despite the termination or non-existence of a MOU.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(1)(c):** MARPA recognizes that some PMA applicants have long-standing MOUs with the FAA that may not reflect the MARPA 1100 criteria. This program is not meant to invalidate existing MOUs.

**REQUIREMENT IV(2):** The MOU shall outline how the FAA and the applicant will conduct the PMA application process for PMAs subject to the MOU.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(2)(a):** The MOU may refer to this specification. The MOU could be quite brief, as many of the essential elements of the program are defined by this Standard.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(2)(b):** The purpose of the MOU is to standardize the process for submitting PMA applications, establish procedures related to PMA approval, establish understandings concerning compliance showings, and ensure that both the applicant and the FAA understand their obligations and responsibilities. A clear understanding of obligations and responsibilities (as well as expected timetables) will help to ensure that the process moves forward smoothly.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(2)(c):** The details in the MOU should reflect the existing relationship between the applicant and the ACO, based on past practice, if past practice has been working well for both parties. This is also an opportunity for the applicant and the FAA ACO to identify past practices that have not worked smoothly, and to develop new procedures to make those elements work smoothly.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(2)(d):** One objective of the MOU should be to identify a process that will allow the applicant and the FAA ACO to work together to identify the processes, tests, computations, and reports that will be necessary to assure compliance with the regulations, and also to allow them to implicitly identify those elements that are superfluous to the process (through their absence in the agreed-upon process). By identifying limits on the processes that will lead to approval, the

applicant and the FAA protects themselves from having to misuse resources on non-value-added review and analysis. This serves government interests as well as applicant interests: by permitting the government to better target its resource allocation to review and analysis that contributes to safety.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(2)(e):** The MOU should contain the expectations and principles by which the PMA application and issue process would be implemented. For example, the MOU might specify (these are only examples):

- The manner in which PMA projects may be initiated (e.g. verbal, email, or fax notification);
- The manner and timing in which the FAA shall respond to PMA project initiation notification with a project number;
- The normal points of contact between the company and the FAA ACO;
- etc.

**REQUIREMENT IV(3):** The MOU shall state a scope clause, explaining which PMA applications are intended to be formatted according to the MARPA 1100 Standard.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(3)(a):** Once an MOU is in place, the applicant would need to decide whether a particular PMA application falls within the scope of the MOU. The applicant would be free to choose whether it would proceed outside the scope of the MOU for any given application, but an application that is offered outside the scope of the MOU may not gain any of the benefits of the MOU.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(3)(b):** The FAA, in its discretion, may choose to take the MARPA 1100 elements into account when reviewing a PMA application and to expedite the processing of an application from a PMA applicant that participates in the MARPA 1100 program. MARPA cannot control this discretion and makes no warranty as to the manner in which the FAA will exercise its discretion.

**PRACTICE GUIDE IV(3)(c):** In the absence of FAA national policy concerning the treatment of MARPA 1100 program participants, the best way to ensure that the applicant and the FAA have come to an agreement about what credit, if any, is to be given for MARPA 1100 participation is for the applicant and FAA to enter into a MOU describing the relationship.

## **V. DEMONSTRATING YOUR BUSINESS' QUALIFICATIONS**

### ***EXPERIENCE***

**REQUIREMENT V(A)(1):** The applicant shall have sufficient past experience with PMA applications so that it is capable of producing an application that meets FAA expectations.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(1)(a):** PMA applicants participating in the MARPA 1100 program are expected to have sufficient experience with PMA applications to be able

to assemble an application package that meets the expectations of the FAA. PMA applicants seeking consideration from the FAA for their participating in the MARPA 1100 program should have already obtained sufficient experience with PMA applications.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(A)(1)(b):** Four years is used as a benchmark for the amount of experience considered to be sufficient experience, but this amount of time may be reduced based on factors like the prior experience of the personnel working for the PMA applicant, significant number of applications in less than four years, or applicants with special experience that demonstrates a thorough understanding of the FAA's PMA application process.

**For example,** a new company that is started by an experienced PMA-industry employee may be able to take credit for that experience. Similarly, a new company started by a retired FAA employee with significant experience reviewing PMA applications may also be able to take credit for that experience.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(A)(1)(c):** An applicant with less than the suggested experience is free to structure their PMA applications according to the MARPA 1100 program. The decision to permit an applicant with less than the suggested experience to enjoy allowances like expedited treatment would be entirely at the discretion of the FAA, and would likely be addressed in the MOU between the applicant and the FAA.

**REQUIREMENT V(A)(2):** The applicant shall have sufficient past experience with the local FAA office to have a working relationship with that office.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(A)(2)(a):** The MOU or a Partnership for Safety Plan (and the successful history of using such tools as the basis for moving PMA applications) may be used as evidence of sufficient past experience with the local FAA office.

## ***PRODUCTION QUALITY INFRASTRUCTURE***

**REQUIREMENT V(B)(1):** The applicant shall have a production quality system that (1) ensures that each product and article conforms to its approved design and is in a condition for safe operation, and (2) meets the other FAA regulatory requirements for a quality system.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(B)(1)(a):** FAA requirements for PMA quality systems are currently found in 14 C.F.R. § 21.137.

**REQUIREMENT V(B)(2):** The applicant shall have zero ACSEP Findings of safety non-compliance against the PMA holder's FAA-approved manufacturing quality assurance system during a reasonable prior time period

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(B)(2)(a):** There is an expectation that a PMA applicant who expects to enjoy consideration from the FAA for its use of the MARPA 1100 Standard would have a superior quality record.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(B)(2)(b):** Four years is used as a guide for the reasonable prior time period, but this amount may be reduced based on factors like special remedial activity, or unique characteristics of the Finding that suggest it was adequately remedied and that it is a unique circumstance that arose from outside of the applicant's system or is unlikely to be capable of repetition.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(B)(2)(c):** An applicant with one or more ACSEP Finding of safety non-compliance in the past four years is free to structure their PMA applications according to the MARPA 1100 program. Any special circumstance may be used to reduce the time period associated with this element, or to waive a prior ACSEP Finding of safety non-compliance at the discretion of the FAA. The decision to permit an applicant with ACSEP Findings of safety non-compliance in the past four years to enjoy allowances like expedited treatment would be entirely at the discretion of the FAA, and would likely be addressed in the MOU between the applicant and the FAA.

## **QUALITY RECORD**

**REQUIREMENT V(C)(1):** The FAA shall have issued zero Airworthiness Directives against PMA parts manufactured under the applicant's PMA approvals during a reasonable prior time period.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(C)(1)(a):** There is an expectation that a PMA applicant who expects to enjoy allowances from the FAA for its participation would have an impeccable safety record.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(C)(1)(b):** Four years is used as a guide for the reasonable prior time period, but this amount may be reduced based on factors like special remedial activity, or unique characteristics of the Airworthiness Directives that suggest it was a unique circumstance that is unlikely to be capable of repetition.

**PRACTICE GUIDE V(C)(1)(c):** An applicant with one or more Airworthiness Directives in the past four years is free to structure their PMA applications according to the MARPA 1100 program. Any special circumstance may be used to reduce the time period associated with this element, or to waive a prior Airworthiness Directive at the discretion of the FAA. The decision to permit an applicant with Airworthiness Directives in the past four years to enjoy allowances like expedited treatment would be entirely at the discretion of the FAA, and would likely be addressed in the MOU between the applicant and the FAA.

## **VI. PROPER PLANNING**

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(1):** The applicant shall have a process for reviewing each part intended to be the subject of the MARPA 1100 application to ensure that the part is a NSS part.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(1)(a):** The MARPA 1100 program is not meant for articles whose failure would have an appreciable effect on the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(1)(b):** Parts that are not eligible to be processed through the MARPA 1100 program may still be the subject of a FAA PMA application using any other FAA-permissible process.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(1)(c):** The FAA has published guidance on how to assess the impact of a part's failure in AC 43-18.

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(2):** An applicant who is using test-and-computation as the basis for some or all of its showing of compliance shall have a Part-Specific Certification Plan (PartSCP) for each such part.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(2)(a):** The PartSCP may address only one article, or a single PartSCP may address the certification plan for many articles (particularly if the articles bear certain data or compliance similarities which make parallel development of the applications an economical approach).

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(2)(b):** Where an applicant does not use test-and-computation as the basis for some or all of its showing of compliance (e.g. compliance demonstrated through licensing agreement with design approval holder, or through identity), the applicant would not be expected to develop a PartSCP. For example, a PartSCP would be inappropriate for an application for PMA by licensing agreement.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(2)(c):** A PartSCP may be thought of as analogous to the Project Specific Certification Plan that is described in FAA Order 8110.42. But there are certain key differences:

- The PartSCP is an abbreviated outline of the project;
- The PartSCP is signed by the applicant, but it need not be approved by (nor signed by) the FAA;
- The PartSCP should describe the process for completing the first article inspection;
- When the PartSCP is not approved by the FAA, it may be amended at the discretion of the applicant;
- Because articles eligible for this program are non-critical, there may be few, if any, additional tests required other than the first article inspection.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(2)(d):** The FAA should be able to look at a PartSCP and identify the FAA safety regulations that apply to the part and the applicant's plan for showing compliance to each such regulation.

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(3):** For each part, the PartSCP shall briefly state the safety analysis that substantiates the NSS classification.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(5)(a):** This is a statement of the analysis performed to comply with the process REQUIREMENT (VI)(1) of this standard.

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(4):** For each part, the PartSCP shall identify the FAA safety regulations that apply to that part.

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(5):** For each FAA safety regulation that applies to the part, the PartSCP shall identify the method of showing compliance to that regulation.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VI)(5)(a):** A single method of showing compliance may address more than one regulatory requirement, if appropriate.

**REQUIREMENT (VI)(6):** For each part, the PartSCP shall identify the service history of any part that would be replaced by the PMA part, to the extent known to the applicant. If the part that is intended to be replaced has been the subject of an airworthiness directive, then the PartSCP shall specify the tests, inspections, design changes, or other strategies used to address the root cause of the airworthiness directive.

## **VII. FOLLOWING THE MARPA 1100 PROGRAM**

**REQUIREMENT (VII)(1):** The Applicant shall use the PartSCP as a guide in preparing the application.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(1)(a):** If the applicant is applying for a PMA on an article whose failure would have NO appreciable effect on continued safe flight BUT whose failure in combination with other factors might reasonably affect continued safe flight, then the applicant should either

1) Apply for PMA using normal application procedures,

or

2) Disclose this fact to the FAA, and obtain concurrence that the local office considers the part to be appropriate for this program. The applicant may want to:

a. Supplement the application with DER-approved data supporting the showing of compliance, and

b. Take such other steps as may be mutually agreed-upon with the FAA in order to expedite the review process.

**NOTE:** The MARPA 1100 Program is not meant to bypass the PMA application review process. Rather, it provides the FAA ACO with confidence that certain elements of application are already addressed, and have been reviewed and found in compliance in past applications, and that therefore the FAA can focus its review resources on the aspects of the application most likely to need FAA attention.

**REQUIREMENT (VII)(2):** The Applicant shall follow the program described in the PartSCP for developing the supporting data to support the PMA application.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(2)(a):** If the applicant is applying for a PMA on a NSS article, then the applicant does not need to seek DER approval of the application data although DER approval of the application data may expedite the FAA's review process.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(2)(b):** At the time of application, the applicant should submit to the FAA the PartsSCP as part of the PMA application process. This document will help demonstrate to the FAA the planning phase of the compliance process.

**REQUIREMENT (VII)(3):** The Applicant shall perform a conformity inspection on a First Article to confirm that the system produces parts that meet design expectations.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(3)(a):** As part of the applicant's written process, the applicant should perform a conformity inspection on an initial sample or samples of production items (known as a "first article inspection") to ensure that the production process produces articles that are in complete conformity with the design.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(3)(b):** The conformity inspection should include such tests as may be necessary to ensure complete conformity to the design, and may require a laboratory *destructive* test where necessary to confirm conformity.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(3)(c):** The conformity inspection should verify that the part meets the regulatory requirements without rework. Of course the *quality system* may need to be reworked if the inspection suggests a failure or a need to modify the system to ensure compliance.

**PRACTICE GUIDE (VII)(3)(d):** First article conformity inspection is one way but not the only way to meet the requirements of the FAA safety regulations. FAA policy states that tests and inspections are to be based on the complexity and criticality of the proposed part. Because NSS articles tend to be non-complex and low criticality, first article inspection is often considered to be unnecessary for a showing of compliance under the regulations. History has shown that the FAA typically does not issue a Request for Conformity (RFC) for such articles. Therefore, applicants should recognize the additional burden of this step and plan for it appropriately.

## **Appendix: PART SPECIFIC CERTIFICATION PLAN OUTLINE**

**This appendix provides a suggested outline for a PartSCP. An applicant is free to develop its own outline that meets the requirements of the standard.**

### **PartSCP OUTLINE (PART SPECIFIC CERTIFICATION PLAN)**

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 Scope
- 1.2 Article(s) Description
- 1.3 Background (Include Service History)
- 1.4 Safety Analysis to substantiate non-critical classification
- 1.5 Instruction for Continued Airworthiness

#### **2.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS**

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------

This section should identify all reference documents that are used to support the application, including test reports and analysis reports.

#### **3.0 SCHEDULE OF SUBSEQUENT DATA SUBMITTAL**

This section should present the expected schedule for further submissions as necessary to complete the application. This section is not applicable if the initial application includes all data and/or commitments required. It is expected that most applications will not require subsequent data submittals after the initial application.

#### **4.0 CERTIFICATION BASIS**

This section should identify the certification basis for the application.

#### **5.0 CONFORMITY**

This section should identify the procedures to be used for testing to verify conformance to the airworthiness standards. For some non-complex articles, first article inspection, alone, may be sufficient to verify the airworthiness of the article. If separate testing to the airworthiness standards is not required, then this section should state that fact.

#### **6.0 FIRST ARTICLE INSPECTION FOR "CONFORMITY"**

This section should identify the procedures to be used for first article inspection, including the expected rework procedures and re-inspection procedures in the event that a first article inspection yields unsatisfactory results.

In some cases, it may be most effective to perform in-process inspections on the first article in order to inspect features that may be more difficult or costly to adequately inspect in the final product.

## 7.0 COMMUNICATION AND COORDINATION

This section should identify the applicant's primary contact on the project. This should be the person who is prepared to communicate with the FAA about the application.

## 8.0 DELEGATIONS – FAA DESIGNEES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

This section should identify the FAA designees (if any) that will work on the project. They should be identified by name and contact information, and the project responsibilities for each designee should be identified.

## 9.0 APPLICANT SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR SIGNING THE PartSCP.



The MARPA 1100 Program is  
**AVAILABLE ON MARPA'S WEBSITE**

at

<http://www.pmamarpa.com>

<http://www.pmaparts.org>

for more information,  
contact the Association at:

**(202) 628-6777**